

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/826,046	Applicant(s) LINGAFELT ET AL.	
	Examiner Kambiz Zand	Art Unit 2132	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kambiz Zand. (3) _____.
- (2) Andrew M. Calderon. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification with respect to reasons for indicating allowable subject matter. Examiner disclosed if the reasons for allowance has not been provided by the Examiner in the office action received by applicant which indicate allowable claims, then the reasons are based on clarity of Applicant's arguments traversing the rejections of the claims and overcoming the prior art; and further in the light of the specifications. Applicant informed the Examiner that the claims would be amended to incorporate the allowability subject matters of other allowed claims. Applicant was informed that interview summary would be enclosed with the next office action.